


StudyId



SENSITIVITY (95% CI)

0.90 [0.73 - 0.98]

0.80 [0.68 - 0.89]

0.49 [0.37 - 0.61]

0.82 [0.73 - 0.89]

0.60 [0.55 - 0.65]


0.60 [0.55 - 0.65]

0.71 [0.58 - 0.82]

Q = 78.52, df = 5.00, p = 0.00

I² = 93.63 [90.03 - 97.24]

StudyId



SPECIFICITY (95% CI)

0.89 [0.81 - 0.94]

0.75 [0.63 - 0.85]

0.79 [0.63 - 0.90]

0.40 [0.27 - 0.54]

0.59 [0.52 - 0.66]

0.59 [0.52 - 0.66]

0.69 [0.54 - 0.81]

Q = 61.39, df = 5.00, p = 0.00

I² = 91.86 [86.90 - 96.81]

StudyId

DIAGNOSTIC SCORE (95% CI)

StudyId

ODDS RATIO (95% CI)

Su L et al, 2013

4.29 [1.62 - 4.29]

Su L et al, 2013

72.82 [18.93 - 280.13]

Song X et al, 2017

2.48 [0.91 - 2.48]

Song X et al, 2017

12.00 [5.19 - 27.73]

Latour-Perez J et al, 2010

1.24 [0.21 - 1.24]

Latour-Perez J et al, 2010

3.47 [1.45 - 8.28]

Kofoed K et al, 2007

1.13 [0.21 - 1.13]

Kofoed K et al, 2007

3.10 [1.46 - 6.57]

Gibot S et al, 2012

0.78 [0.24 - 0.78]

Gibot S et al, 2012

2.17 [1.55 - 3.04]

Gamez-Diaz LY et al, 2011

0.78 [0.24 - 0.78]

Gamez-Diaz LY et al, 2011

2.18 [1.55 - 3.06]

COMBINED

1.70 [0.74 - 2.66]

COMBINED


5.48 [2.10 - 14.27]

Q = 75.85, df = 5.00, p = 0.00

Q = 1.8e+12, df = 5.00, p = 0.00

I² = 93.41 [89.64 - 97.18]I² = 100.00 [100.00 - 100.00]0.2 4.3
DIAGNOSTIC SCORE1 280
ODDS RATIO

StudyId



DLR POSITIVE (95% CI)

8.18 [4.63 - 14.47]

3.20 [2.08 - 4.92]

2.27 [1.21 - 4.24]

1.37 [1.08 - 1.73]

1.47 [1.23 - 1.76]


1.47 [1.23 - 1.76]

2.28 [1.39 - 3.76]

Q = 83.97, df = 5.00, p = 0.00

I² = 90.74 [90.74 - 97.35]

StudyId



DLR NEGATIVE (95% CI)

0.11 [0.04 - 0.33]

0.27 [0.16 - 0.45]

0.65 [0.50 - 0.86]

0.44 [0.26 - 0.76]

0.68 [0.57 - 0.79]

0.68 [0.57 - 0.80]

0.42 [0.25 - 0.69]

Q = 82.08, df = 5.00, p = 0.00

I² = 93.91 [90.50 - 97.31]

DLR NEGATIVE

