**Table S1.** Model fit statistics by the numbers of trajectories.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Number of trajectories | Parameter of trajectory shape a | Allocated group membership | Estimated group membership | AvPP b | BIC c | AIC c |
| 2 | 22 | 91.32%8.67% | 91.24%8.76% | 0.990.97 | -118236.52 | -118210.86 |
| **3** | **2****2****2** | **18.05%****80.28%****1.66%** | **18.18%****80.16%****1.66%** | **0.94****0.99****0.99** | **-115242.83** | **-115204.35** |
| 4 | 2222 | 3.68%73.67%22.14%0.51% | 3.71%73.48%22.30%0.51% | 0.980.980.930.99 | -113967.91 | -113916.61 |

AvPP, average posterior possibility; BIC, Bayesian information criterion; AIC, Akaike information criterion.

a Polynomial function of time (1 linear, 2 quadratic, 3 cubic).

b AvPP >0.7 indicates good classification accuracy.

c Lower absolute values of BIC and AIC indicates better fitness.

**Table S2.** Model fit statistics of 27 combinations of shape orders (model with 3 trajectories).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter of trajectory shape a | Allocated group membership | Estimated group membership | AvPP b | BIC c | AIC c |
| 111 | 80.32%18.08%1.60% | 80.26%18.11%1.62% | 0.990.940.99 | -115237.42 | -115208.56 |
| 222 | 18.05%80.28%1.66% | 18.18%80.16%1.66% | 0.940.990.99 | -115242.83 | -115204.35 |
| 333 | 37.53%49.58%12.89% | 33.33%33.33%33.33% | 0.490.500.89 | -120450.93 | -120402.83 |
| **1****1****3** | **80.72%****17.75%****1.53%** | **80.56%****17.91%****1.53%** | **0.99****0.94****0.99** | **-115195.32** | **-115160.04** |
| 112 | 18.06%80.28%1.66% | 18.18%80.16%1.66% | 0.940.990.99 | -115234.40 | -115202.33 |
| 121 | 80.32%18.08%1.60% | 80.28%18.10%1.62% | 0.990.940.99 | -115242.70 | -115210.63 |
| 211 | 80.32%18.08%1.60% | 80.27%18.11%1.62% | 0.990.940.99 | -115241.83 | -115209.76 |
| 221 | 18.08%80.32%1.60% | 18.10%80.28%1.62% | 0.940.990.99 | -115247.14 | -115211.86 |
| 212 | 18.06%80.28%1.66% | 18.18%80.16%1.66% | 0.940.990.99 | -115238.61 | -115203.34 |
| 122 | 80.28%18.06%1.66% | 80.16%18.18%1.66% | 0.990.940.99 | -115238.61 | -115203.34 |
| 131 | 18.06%80.35%1.60% | 18.10%80.28%1.62% | 0.940.990.99 | -115244.05 | -115208.77 |
| 311 | 18.06%80.32%1.62% | 18.14%80.22%1.64% | 0.940.990.99 | -115244.48 | -115209.20 |
| 133 | 80.70%17.77%1.53% | 80.55%17.92%1.53% | 0.990.940.99 | -115202.66 | -115160.97 |
| 331 | 40.91%37.18%21.92% | 33.33%33.33%33.33% | 0.480.490.84 | -120761.48 | -120719.79 |
| 313 | 53.17%37.40%9.43% | 33.33%33.33%33.33% | 0.670.680.93 | -120445.82 | -120404.13 |
| 223 | 17.72%80.75%1.53% | 17.91%80.56%1.52% | 0.950.990.99 | -115203.74 | -115162.06 |
| 322 | 80.32%18.01%1.66% | 80.17%18.16%1.66% | 0.990.940.99 | -115245.15 | -115203.46 |
| 232 | 18.01%80.32%1.66% | 18.16%80.17%1.66% | 0.940.990.99 | -115245.15 | -115203.46 |
| 233 | 50.69%37.27%12.05% | 33.33%33.33%33.33% | 0.570.570.91 | -120447.53 | -120402.63 |
| 323 | 51.02%37.33%11.65% | 33.33%33.33%33.33% | 0.580.590.91 | -120447.89 | -120402.99 |
| 332 | 44.43%33.92%21.65% | 33.33%33.33%33.33% | 0.490.490.54 | -120729.66 | -120684.76 |
| 123 | 80.75%17.72%1.53% | 80.56%17.91%1.53% | 0.990.950.99 | -115199.53 | -115161.05 |
| 132 | 80.28%18.06%1.66% | 80.11%18.21%1.68% | 0.990.940.99 | -115240.44 | -115201.96 |
| 231 | 18.01%80.39%1.60% | 18.09%80.29%1.62% | 0.940.990.99 | -115249.33 | -115210.85 |
| 213 | 80.72%17.75%1.53% | 80.56%17.91%1.53% | 0.990.940.99 | -115199.53 | -115161.05 |
| 312 | 80.32%18.01%1.66% | 80.17%18.16%1.66% | 0.990.940.99 | -115240.94 | -115202.45 |
| 321 | 18.08%80.32%1.60% | 18.14%80.23%1.63% | 0.940.990.99 | -115248.99 | -115210.51 |

AvPP, average posterior possibility; BIC, Bayesian information criterion; AIC, Akaike information criterion.

a Polynomial function of time (1 linear, 2 quadratic, 3 cubic).

b AvPP >0.7 indicate good classification accuracy.

c Lower absolute values of BIC and AIC indicate better fitness.

**Table S3.** AICa for model 1 to model 3 performed in 30 datasets generated from multiple imputation.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Model 1** | **Model 2** | **Model 3** | ***P* valueb** |
| **LAP trajectories** | 0.281 (0.280, 0.282) | 0.279 (0.278, 0.280) | 0.233 (0.232, 0.234) | <0.001 |
| **Baseline LAP (groups)** | 0.278 (0.276, 0.279) | 0.276 (0.275, 0.277) | 0.229 (0.228, 0.230) | <0.001 |
| **Baseline LAP (tertiles)** | 0.277 (0.276, 0.278) | 0.275 (0.274, 0.276) | 0.229 (0.227, 0.230) | <0.001 |

AIC, Akaike information criterion; LAP, lipid accumulation product.

a AIC were calculated by each 30 datasets performed in model 1, model 2, and model 3, and are demonstrated as median (min, max). The lower AIC indicates the better fitness.

b P value from analysis of variance of AIC in model 1, model 2, and model 3.

**Table S4.** Summary of baseline LAP by LAP trajectory groups, percentiles groups of baseline LAP, and tertiles of baseline LAP.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Mean ± SD** | **Maximum value** | **Minimum value** |
| **LAP trajectories** |  |  |  |
|  Trajectory 1: low | 18.18 ± 12.85 | 0.43 | 125.00 |
|  Trajectory 2: moderate | 63.02 ± 32.67 | 5.90 | 322.16 |
|  Trajectory 3: high | 154.57 ± 102.12 | 21.00 | 429.44 |
| **Baseline LAP (groups)** |  |  |  |
|  First group | 17.31 ± 10.86 | 0.43 | 42.39 |
|  Second group | 63.88 ± 18.50 | 42.42 | 121.40 |
|  Third group | 200.23 ± 83.13 | 121.60 | 429.44 |
| **Baseline LAP (tertiles)** |  |  |  |
|  First tertile | 7.06 ± 3.25 | 0.43 | 12.65 |
|  Second tertile | 20.14 ± 4.83 | 12.70 | 29.38 |
|  Third tertile | 58.07 ± 40.63 | 29.40 | 429.44 |