**Additional File 1**

**Table S1**

Summary of characteristics of patients in the data set.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Factor** | **Findings** |
| Number of subjects | 13309 |
| Diabetes, *n (%)* |  |
| Yes (1) | 2780 (21%) |
| No (0) | 10529 (79%) |
| Sex, *n (%)* |  |
| Male | 5314 (40%) |
| Female | 7995 (60%) |
| Variables, *Mean (SD)* |  |
| Age | 64.8 (12.62) |
| BMI | 29.9 (6.46) |
| HDL | 1.41 (0.40) |
| LDL | 2.79 (0.91) |
| TG | 1.48 (0.77) |
| sBP | 130 (15.70) |
| FBS | 5.44 (0.67) |

**Table S2**

Confusion Matrix for the Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM) model with the threshold

of 0.24.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **True No DM** | **True DM** |
| **Predicted No DM** | 1745 | 164 |
| **Predicted DM** | 339 | 414 |

**Table S3**

Confusion Matrix for the Logistic Regression model with the threshold of 0.24.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **True No DM** | **True DM** |
| **Predicted No DM** | 1715 | 154 |
| **Predicted DM** | 369 | 424 |

**Table S4**

Confusion Matrix for the Random Forest model with the threshold of 0.24.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **True No DM** | **True DM** |
| **Predicted No DM** | 1758 | 182 |
| **Predicted DM** | 326 | 396 |

**Table S5**

Confusion Matrix for the Rpart model with the threshold of 0.18.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **True No DM** | **True DM** |
| **Predicted No DM** | 1807 | 200 |
| **Predicted DM** | 277 | 378 |

**Table S6**

Comparing the AROC with other machine-learning techniques using the class weight method.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Model** | **Area Under the ROC Curve, AROC** |
| GBM, w\* = 3 | 84.1% |
| RPART, w = 2.75 | 81.8% |
| RANDOM FOREST, w = 1.75 | 82.9% |
| LOGISTIC REGRESSION, w = 3.25 | 84.1% |

\* w is the class weight for the DM class.

**Table S7**

Sensitivity, Specificity, Misclassification Rate, and AROC values of the four models on the studied data set using cutoff value of 0.24 for GBM, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, and a cutoff value of 0.18 for Rpart model.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Model** | **AROC** | **Misclassification Rate** | **Sensitivity** | **Specificity** |
| GBM | 84.7% | 18.9% | 71.6% | 83.7% |
| LOGISTIC REGRESSION | 84.0% | 19.6% | 73.4% | 82.3% |
| RANDOM FOREST | 83.4% | 19.1% | 68.5% | 84.4% |
| RPART | 78.2% | 17.9% | 65.4% | 86.7% |

**Table S8**

Sensitivity, Specificity, Misclassification Rate, and AROC values of the four models on the PIMA Indians data set using cutoff value of 0.3 for GBM, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, and a cutoff value of 0.18 for Rpart model.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Model** | **AROC** | **Misclassification Rate** | **Sensitivity** | **Specificity** |
| GBM | 84.7% | 21.5% | 81.5% | 76.9% |
| LOGISTIC REGRESSION | 88.0% | 20.3% | 81.5% | 78.8% |
| RANDOM FOREST | 87.1% | 19.0% | 68.5% | 80.8% |
| RPART | 77.0% | 21.5% | 66.7% | 84.6% |