

Table S4.

Measures		χ^2	df	RMSEA	CFI	TLI	WRMR	ρ	[95%CI]
BSES-EBF and EBFSS									
Cognitive BSES-EBF and Instrumental EBFSS		24.877*	13	0.06	0.99	0.99	0.61	0.09	[-0.05,0.23]
Cognitive BSES-EBF and Informational EBFSS		53.052*	26	0.07	0.99	0.98	0.80	0.23***	[0.10, 0.36]
Cognitive BSES-EBF and Emotional EBFSS		119.892*	53	0.07	0.98	0.97	0.94	0.28***	[0.16, 0.40]
Functional BSES-EBF and Instrumental EBFSS		77.183*	19	0.11	0.98	0.97	1.00	0.30***	[0.18, 0.43]
Functional BSES-EBF and Informational EBFSS		98.200*	34	0.09	0.97	0.97	0.99	0.39***	[0.28, 0.50]
Functional BSES-EBF and Emotional EBFSS		268.087*	64	0.12	0.94	0.94	1.37	0.47***	[0.38, 0.57]
BSES-EBF and CESD Score									
Cognitive BSES-EBF and Depression		806.935*	251	0.09	0.87	0.85	1.60	-0.09	[-0.24, 0.05]
Functional BSES-EBF and Depression		1098.63*	274	0.11	0.81	0.81	1.90	-0.14**	[-0.28, -0.01]

Notes: **BSES-EBF**= Breastfeeding Self-Efficacy Scale to Measure Exclusive Breastfeeding; **EBFSS**=Exclusive Breastfeeding Social Support; χ^2 = chi-square goodness of fit statistic; χ^2 : df ratio of less than 3:1; **df**=degrees of freedom; **RMSEA (≤ 0.08)** = Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; **CFI (≥ 0.95)**=Comparative Fit Index; **TLI (≥ 0.95)**=Tucker Lewis Index; **SRMR(≤ 0.08)** =Standardized Square Root Mean Residual; **WRMR (≤ 1.0)**=Weighted Root Mean Square Residual; ρ = Rho=population correlation between two latent variables; CI: Confidence Interval; *All goodness-of-fit tests were statistically significant at $p<0.001$; **discriminant correlation estimate significant at $p<0.5$; ***discriminant correlation estimate significant at $p<0.0001$